

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usnto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,378	12/12/2003	Matthew Bamberger	6232-251 (192310)	9760	
·	590 07/21/2005		EXAM	INER	
GREGORY J. LAVORGNA DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP One Logan Square 18th & Cherry Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-6996			GANDHI, JAYPRAKASH N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2125		
1 iniaucipina, 1 A 19103-0990			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005	DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/734,378	BAMBERGER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jayprakash N. Gandhi	2125				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 December 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original of of the origina	re: a) accepted or b) objectod or b) objectod or abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/734,378

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the method steps (flowchart or block diagram) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,378 Page 3

Art Unit: 2125

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-29 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over D'Aleo et al. (US 5,191,265).

Regarding claims 1-5, D'Aleo discloses all the structural elements of a control system, including a motorized shade control, a central processor and a communication interface device (inherent properties of "smart" module)

- (22) According to one aspect of the present invention, modularity is provided by making each module "smart", i.e., each module is provided with intelligent electronics and a memory. The defined scenes are stored in the master's memory, together with a "fade time" representing a desired time for effecting a change from the existing intensity for each zone in the most recently selected scene to the desired intensity for each zone in the currently selected scene. For any given scene, the desired intensity of a zone is selected by way of controls located on the module associated with that particular zone (either a master or a slave) and stored in that module's memory.
- (27) Still another important feature of the invention is that diverse loads may be controlled by each master and slave. For example, one zone may consist of incandescent lighting while another zone may consist of fluorescent lighting, while a third zone may consist of high intensity discharge (HID) lighting. A fourth zone may not be <u>lighting</u> at all, but may be, for example, a ceiling fan, a <u>motorized window shade</u> or screen, an interface to an audiovisual <u>control</u>, etc. The zones can <u>control</u> on/off switching only, <u>dimming</u>, speed <u>control</u> or other type of <u>control</u> appropriate to the load. The modularity of the present invention permits selection of each module to be tailored based upon the nature of the type of load that it will control, while simultaneously permitting the

control modules to be ganged together in a ganged wall box. As described above, each slave is still responsive to the data commands from the master,

irrespective of the type of load that it is controlling.

As stated above, D'Aleo discloses all the structural limitations. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the intelligent electronics and a memory of D'Aleo as required by different applications or utilities.

Page 4

Regarding claims 6-29, as best understood (claims objection), the structure of D'Aleo inherently possesses the claimed method.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Courtney et al., Mandl, Patterson et al., Bonasia et al., and JP 6-111942 disclose related art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jayprakash N. Gandhi whose telephone number is 571-272-3740. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 (Mon. - Thu.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo P. Picard can be reached on 571-272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,378 Page 5

Art Unit: 2125

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jayprakash N Gandhi Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125

jng